Determinants of Postoperative Spinal Height Change among Adult Spinal Deformity Patients with Long Construct Circumferential Fusion
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Study Design: Retrospective clinical study.

Purpose: To describe postoperative height changes and identify the predictive factors of spinal height (SH) changes among patients with adult spinal deformity (ASD) who underwent circumferential lumbar fusion with instrumentation.

Overview of Literature: Postoperative height changes remain an important issue after spinal fusion surgery that affects the overall satisfaction with surgery. Previous studies of postoperative height change have focused exclusively on young patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS).

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed the clinical and imaging data of ASD patients who underwent lumbar corrective circumferential fusion of ≥3 levels (n=106). SH was defined as the vertical distance between C2 and S1 on a standing lateral image. As potential predictors of postoperative height change, the number of lateral lumbar interbody fusion (LLIF) levels, change in spino-pelvic parameters, total number of levels fused, and pedicle subtraction osteotomies (PSO) were documented. Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses were performed to identify the predictors of postoperative height change.

Results: The mean SH change was −2.39±50.8 mm (range, −160 to 172 mm). The univariate analyses showed that the number of LLIF levels (coefficient=10.9, \( p=0.03 \)), the absolute coronal vertical axis change (coefficient=0.6, \( p=0.01 \)), and the absolute Cobb angle change (coefficient=−0.9, \( p=0.03 \)) were significant predictors for height change. Patients with PSOs (n=14) tended to have a shorter height postoperatively (coefficient=−26.1); however, this difference was not significant (\( p=0.07 \)). Multivariate analyses conducted with variables of \( p<0.20 \) showed that pelvic tilt (PT) change is an independent contributor to SH change (coefficient=−0.99, \( p=0.04 \), \( R^2=0.11 \)).

Conclusions: Utilizing a modified definition of SH used in previous AIS studies, we demonstrated that patients with ASD lose SH postoperatively and that PT change was an independent contributor of SH change.

Keywords: Spinal height; Adult spinal deformity; Lateral lumbar interbody fusion; Circumferential fusion; Thoraco-lumbar spine
Introduction

Adult spinal deformity (ASD) is a common condition in the elderly population. Schwab et al. [1] reported a prevalence of spinal deformity of 68% in the elderly population in the United States of America. If symptomatic, this condition can be treated with corrective spinal surgeries that may cause postoperative height changes. Generally with increasing age, people experience a decrease in height even without surgery [2,3]. The average height loss from age 30 to 70 years was reported to be 3 cm for men and 5 cm for women. Height loss then becomes progressively more rapid, reaching 5 cm for men and 8 cm for women by the age of 80 years [3]. Consequently, height changes might be even more pronounced in elderly patients with adult spinal deformities. Deformity corrections and restoration of the spinal balance are important contributors to improved pain and functional outcomes. In addition, height changes remain an important issue that bother patients even in the advanced age and might affect the postoperative overall satisfaction.

Previous studies of postoperative height change have focused exclusively on young patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) [4-10]. There are several inherent differences between ASD and AIS in terms of height change. For AIS patients, the primary treatment corrects the coronal deformity within the thoracolumbar region and sagittal spinal parameters are often less relevant [11]. However, in ASD cases, sagittal balance as well as pelvic and cervical factors should be considered more carefully. For instance, Banno et al. [12] showed gradual pelvic tilt (PT), and pelvic incidence-lumbar lordosis mismatch (PI-LL) increases with age, while sagittal vertical axis (SVA) increases were significant after the 7th decade. Furthermore, the deterioration in the quality of life was related to sagittal malalignment [13-15]. Currently, it is unclear whether AIS results can be applied to ASD cases.

Moreover, lateral lumbar interbody fusion (LLIF) and pedicle subtraction osteotomy (PSO) are common procedures for ASD, especially for severe deformity cases [16,17]. These procedures could cause significant changes in the postoperative height. Regarding the LLIF procedure, interbody fusion is often associated with local disc height gain that may lead to overall spinal height (SH) increase. The effect of PSO on SH is more complex. PSO usually results in the loss of local segmental length because of the bone-removing aspect of the procedure. PSOs can also improve the sagittal balance parameters considerably and can have varying effects on the overall SH. Therefore, these PSO factors should be assessed along with the spinal balance parameters for patients with ASD.

In this study, we analyzed the clinical and imaging data of ASD patients who underwent combined anterior and posterior fusion to describe postoperative height changes and to identify the potential contributors to height change.

Materials and Methods

1. Study population

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Hospital for Special Surgery (IRB approval no., 2014-097). A waiver for the documentation of informed consent was provided by the IRB according to 45 CFR 46.117(c). Data were retrieved from a retrospectively collected database at Hospital for Special Surgery from 2007 to 2018. ASD patients who were undergoing spinal surgery for common indications, including spinal stenosis, foraminal stenosis, degenerative disc disease, spondylolisthesis, neurogenic claudication, herniated nucleus pulposus, adjacent segment disease, and pseudarthrosis were examined.

All surgeries were performed by five fellowship-trained spinal surgeons. The study inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) circumferential approach with at least one level treated with LLIF and a posterior pedicle screw construct of at least four levels, (2) available preoperative computed tomography (CT) scans and 91.44-cm long-cassette radiographs, and (3) available postoperative long-cassette radiographs within 8 weeks after the surgery. Total 104 patients met the inclusion criteria and were included in the analyses.

2. Assessment of height change

Radiographic assessment of height change was performed using pre- and postoperative long-cassette radiographs. After calibrating the images utilizing the anteroposterior and lateral width of the S1 endplate on preoperative CT scans, all the radiological parameters were measured and calculated using the Sectra IDS7 picture archiving and communication system (Sectra Corp., Linkping, Sweden).

In this study, SH was defined as the vertical distance
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between the midpoint of the C2 endplate and the postero-superior aspect of the S1 endplate on a standing lateral image (Fig. 1). Additional spinopelvic parameters that were measured included the following: coronal vertical axis (CVA; horizontal distance between the plumb line from C7 and the midpoint of the sacral endplate), coronal Cobb angle, SVA (horizontal distance between the C7 centroid and the posterosuperior aspect of the sacral endplate), PT (angle between a vertical line and a connecting line between the middle of the sacral endplate and the middle of the bicoxofemoral axis), lumbar lordosis (LL; angle between the superior endplate of L1 and the superior endplate of S1), PI–LL, and thoracic kyphosis (TK; angle between the superior endplate of T4 and the inferior endplate of T12). The radiologic assessment was conducted by two independent examiners who did not directly participate in the care of patients in the study cohort. The number of LLIF levels, the total number of levels fused, and PSO were also documented.

Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses were used to determine the significance of contributors for postoperative height change. Variables with a p-value of <0.20 in the univariate analyses were included in the multivariate analysis. The statistical significance level was set at p<0.05. All the statistical analyses were performed using EZR ver. 1.3.7 (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan) [18] a statistical software based on the R environment (R for 3.1.0 [(The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria]; graphical user interface, 1.64).

Results

1. Patient demographics and postoperative parameter change

Total 77 of the 104 patients were women (74.0%). The mean±standard deviation patient age at surgery was 64.7±9.2 years (range, 33–82 years), the mean body mass index was 28.6±6.4 kg/m² (range, 17.2–55.4 kg/m²). The mean number of levels fused was 7.0±2.6 (range, 4–22) that included the previous fusion levels. The mean number of LLIF levels was 2.6±1.0 (range, 1–4). Fourteen patients underwent additional PSO. The mean SH change was −2.63±51.2 mm (range, −160 to 172 mm) (Fig. 2).
Demographic and preoperative data are detailed in Table 1, and the pre- to postoperative parameter changes are shown in Table 2.

2. Univariate analyses

Univariate analyses showed that female sex (coefficient=23.0, \(p=0.5\)), number of LLIF levels (coefficient=11.07, \(p=0.03\)), absolute amount of CVA change (coefficient=0.6, \(p=0.01\)), and the absolute amount of Cobb angle change (coefficient=−0.9, \(p=0.03\)) were significant predictors for height gain. Patients with PSO (n=14) had a shorter height postoperatively (coefficient=−25.8); however, this finding was not statistically significant (\(p=0.08\)) (Table 3).

3. Multivariate analysis

Multivariate analyses conducted on variables with \(p<0.20\) (sex, absolute amounts of CVA and Cobb change, PT change, LLIF levels, and PSO) showed that PT change was an independent negative contributor to SH change (coefficient=−0.99, \(p=0.04\), \(R^2=0.12\)). CVA change, patients who underwent PSO, and female sex trended toward significance, but were not statistically significant factors (\(p=0.06\), \(p=0.10\), and \(p=0.05\), respectively) (Table 4).

4. Sub-analyses

The study population was subdivided into a pure sagittal deformity group, including patients with a preoperative Cobb angle \(\leq30^\circ\) (n=57). The univariate analyses showed that the LL change was significantly (\(p=0.04\)) correlated to height loss. This was not true for patients with sagittal and coronal deformities (\(p=0.62\)). However, there was a sig-
Table 3. Univariate analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-0.77 to 1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-2.07 to 1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>22.95</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.58 to 45.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre- to postoperative changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal vertical axis</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.11 to 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.13 to 1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10 to 1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar lordosis</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.39 to -0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic tilt</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22 to -1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic kyphosis</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37 to -1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral lumbar interbody level</td>
<td>11.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>20.76 to 1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedicle subtraction osteotomy</td>
<td>-25.84</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.03 to -54.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of levels fused</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>3.92 to -5.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boldface indicates statistical significance. CVA, coronal vertical axis; Cobb, coronal Cobb angle. 
<sup>a</sup>CVA and Cobb changes are absolute amounts of change. For example, if a patient tilted 5 mm on the left preoperatively, then tilted 3 mm on the right postoperatively, the CVA change is 8 mm.

Table 4. Multivariate analysis including variables with p<0.20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre- to postoperative changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.93 to -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96 to -0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic tilt</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.03 to -1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral lumbar interbody level</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>15.81 to -4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedicle subtraction osteotomy</td>
<td>-27.84</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>45.51 to -56.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>22.73</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.02 to -0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boldface indicates statistical significance. CVA, coronal vertical axis; Cobb, coronal Cobb angle. 
<sup>a</sup>CVA and Cobb changes are absolute amounts of change. For example, if a patient tilted 5 mm on the left preoperatively, then tilted 3 mm on the right postoperatively, the CVA change is 8 mm.

Discussion

In this study, we utilized a modified definition of SH used in previous AIS studies to include characteristics of ASD. The results of our study demonstrated that >50% of ASD patients lost SH postoperatively, and the PT change was an independent contributor to this SH change in the multivariate analysis. The results of univariate analyses showed that LLIF had a significant positive association with postoperative SH, while PSO demonstrated a negative trend effect on postoperative SH.

To our knowledge, no reports have evaluated the postoperative SH change among ASD patients. In AIS reports, the definition of SH was the distance between C7/T1 and L5 [7-10]. For ASD cases, patients may have a deformity in the upper thoracic and/or cervical spine that might affect the head-to-heel body height. Furthermore, identifying the correct point of the C7 or T1 vertebra for measurement can be challenging, especially on the posteroanterior radiographs, owing to age-related degenerative changes in the spine. Thus, we considered the SH definition in AIS studies potentially error-prone for ASD cases [19,20].

Postoperative SH change among AIS patients was distinct from our ASD results because AIS patients were more likely to gain SH postoperatively in previous studies [4-10]. It has been theorized that associated ASD procedures may cause height loss. Although it was not significant in our cohort (p=0.08), PSOs tended to decrease SH, accounting for an approximate 2.6 cm of SH loss. In contrast, Hwang et al. [4] showed that body height in AIS patients with osteotomies significantly increased postoperatively and was most likely owing to the increased coronal curve correction in their population. Although surgical procedure information was not detailed in their study, the effect of osteotomies on coronal balance correction and SH should be different from PSOs in the lumbar spine. The negative effect of PSOs on SH might not have been attributed to osteotomy itself, but to the sagittal balance correction issue as mentioned above. Lafage et al. [21] reported a mean LL gain of 29° after a PSO. Although the Cobb angles were not reported, majority of their study population had sagittal deformities. In our study, 1° of LL change resulted in a height loss of 0.84 mm. If applied to the population of Lafage et al. [21], the 0.84-mm height loss per degree of LL change would be an equivalent height loss of approximately 24 mm, comparable to the estimated height loss with PSOs in our patients with pure

significant positive correlation for CVA change (p<0.01) and a negative correlation for PT change (p=0.02) with height change for the sagittal and coronal deformity group (Table 5).
The effect of sagittal spinal correction has a different effect on postoperative SH change compared to coronal spinal correction. While coronal correction leads more directly to height gain via a straightening effect on the spine; sagittal correction is often an amplification of LL and TK that might influence SH negatively by adding curvature to the spine. Similarly, Spencer et al. [8] showed that in addition to the number of levels fused, the magnitude of a major Cobb angle change was positively correlated with height gain in AIS patients. Obtaining sagittal balance through spinal corrective surgery does not necessarily correlate with SH gain in ASD patients [13,22-24]. For instance, in the pure sagittal deformity group in our subgroup analysis, LL change demonstrated a significantly negative association with SH, suggesting that greater sagittal balance correction in ASD patients leads to height loss.

Regarding coronal balance, Imrie et al. [25] suggested that...
complete correction in the coronal plane may occur at the expense of sagittal alignment in AIS patients and lead to height gain. Our subgroup analysis supports this trend that the absolute amount of CVA change has a positive correlation to SH gain ($p<0.01$).

AIS studies have formulated models to predict the SH change [4-10]. Keong et al. [5] and Shi et al. [7] included preoperative parameters in their prediction models and concluded coronal deformity factors (preoperative Cobb angle or postoperative Cobb angle change) were significant contributors to height change. In our study, univariate analyses demonstrated a positive association between the change in the SH and change in the Cobb angle/CVA. The multivariate analysis only showed a trend toward significance for CVA change ($p=0.06$). An underpowered sample size might be a reason for not detecting significance in this relatively heterogeneous population. PT change demonstrated statistical significance in the multivariate analyses. High PT reflects a compensatory mechanism for global sagittal malalignment [14]. Therefore if PT is high postoperatively, there might be an under-correction of the sagittal alignment [26,27]. Our results showed that a postoperative PT decrease was associated with SH increase. PT is associated with other sagittal balance parameters; however, none of them showed significance for SH change in our study. PT change might therefore be more sensitive to SH change than other parameters. This independent positive effect of PT reduction on SH change seems contradictory to the effect of PSO that showed a trend toward height loss and is likely to be associated with significant PT reduction. The interrelationship between these parameters may explain the significant association for PT in univariate analysis. PSOs and PT change may have had a counterbalancing effect. However, the effect of PT change was significant after adjustment with PSO.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that >50% of the patients with ASD can lose SH postoperatively in contrast to AIS patients, and PT change was an independent contributor to postoperative SH change. Postoperative height loss may be a concern for some patients, especially in the elderly population where changes may be more pronounced. When counseling patients preoperatively, surgeons should consider discussing SH changes after the surgery that could affect patient satisfaction. To our knowledge, there is no evidence in the literature on how postoperative height loss after lumbar fusion affects the overall satisfaction with surgery and clinical outcomes and could be studied in the future.
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