Negative Effects of Diffuse Idiopathic Skeletal Hyperostosis on Bone Fusion after Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion
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Study Design: This study adopted a retrospective cohort study design.

Purpose: This study aimed to clarify the influence of diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH) on bone fusion after transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF).

Overview of Literature: The negative effects of DISH on lumbar degenerative diseases have been reported, and DISH may be involved in the onset and severity of lumbar spinal canal stenosis. Patients with DISH have significantly more reoperations after posterior lumbar fusion, including TLIF. However, the effects of DISH on bone fusion after TLIF have not been reported.

Methods: The medical records of patients with intervertebral TLIF from 2012 to 2018 were retrospectively examined. The patients were divided into those with fusion and those with pseudoarthrosis, and the following data were compared: age, sex, DISH, diabetes mellitus, smoking, drinking, albumin levels, body mass index ≥30 kg/m², and L5/S fixation. Statistical analyses were performed using regression models.

Results: In this study, 180 patients (78.6%) had fusion and 49 patients (21.4%) had pseudoarthrosis. The number of patients with DISH was significantly higher in the pseudoarthrosis group than in the fusion group (36.7% and 21.7%, respectively; univariate \( p=0.031 \), multivariate \( p=0.019 \)). No significant differences in age, sex, diabetes mellitus, smoking, drinking, albumin levels, body mass index ≥30 kg/m², and L5/S fixation were observed between the two groups. The risk factors for bone fusion were statistically analyzed in 57 patients with DISH. DISH with a caudal end below Th11 was an independent risk factor for pseudoarthrosis (univariate \( p=0.011 \), multivariate \( p=0.033 \)).

Conclusions: DISH is an independent risk factor for pseudoarthrosis after one intervertebral TLIF, and DISH with a caudal end below Th11 is associated with a higher risk of pseudoarthrosis than DISH without a caudal end below Th11.
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Introduction

Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH), proposed by Resnick et al. [1] in 1975, is a noninflammatory skeletal disease with an unknown etiology, characterized by calcification and ossification of soft tissues, predominantly ligaments and entheses. In addition to many reports of the effects of DISH on dysphagia and spinal trauma [2,3], its negative effects on lumbar degenerative disease have recently been reported. Yamada et al. [4] reported that DISH is involved in the onset and severity of lumbar spinal stenosis. Moreover, increased lumbar reoperation rates after posterior lumbar fusion, including transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF), in patients with DISH extending to the lumbar spine have also been reported [5]. However, to the best of our knowledge, no reports clearly identified pseudoarthrosis after TLIF in patients with DISH.

Pseudoarthrosis of the spine is a frequent complication of spinal fusion. The occurrence rate of pseudoarthrosis after posterior lumbar fusion is reported to be 0%–41.4% [6-9]. The clinical significance of pseudoarthrosis varies; patients may have progressive pain, instability, or both. The actual incidence rate is greater than the reported rate because some patients with pseudoarthrosis do not present with symptoms. Pseudoarthrosis of the lumbar spine resulting from bone fusion failure is typically evident 1 year after attempted spinal fusion [10-12]. Several factors predispose patients to pseudoarthrosis, namely, poor surgical technique, metabolic abnormalities, excessive motion, trauma, infection, and smoking [13]. DISH is also a possible risk factor for pseudoarthrosis. Because of the long lever arm associated with spinal ankylosis, we hypothesized that after TLIF, the incidence of pseudoarthrosis is higher in patients with DISH than in those without DISH.

This study aimed to clarify the effects of DISH on bone fusion after TLIF.

Materials and Methods

1. Subjects

This study included consecutive patients who underwent one intervertebral TLIF at a single facility from 2012 to 2018. The surgical indications were severe leg pain, numbness that resists conservative therapy, severe muscle weakness, and bladder and rectal disorders, and the indications for fixation were lumbar spondylolisthesis with excessive instability in the X-ray sagittal section, intervertebral foraminal stenosis, and lateral hernia. All patients were decompressed and fixed based on preoperative magnetic resonance imaging and X-ray findings. During the study period, 1,004 patients underwent TLIF. The exclusion criteria were as follows: patients aged >50 years, those with previous spinal surgery, those with malignant neoplasms, those undergoing TLIF of two or more intervertebral disks, and those who had a follow-up of <12 months. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 229 patients were included in this study (Fig. 1).

2. Surgical procedure and pre- and postsurgical image evaluation

The surgeons were four spine specialists, all of whom performed the same procedure. The procedure for TLIF was to remove one side of the facet joint, then scrape the intervertebral disk, fill the defect with autologous bone, insert a titanium cage, and insert pedicle screws. Only autogenous bone was used for grafts; no bone morphogenetic proteins were used. Bone grafts were used only to fill the disk space.

Bone fusion was evaluated at the final follow-up computed tomography (CT) at least 12 months after surgery, and two central slices of the cage (coronal and sagittal views) were used according to the bone fusion evaluation method of Ushirozako et al. [14]. Bone formation was graded according to the classification of Bridwell et al. [15] using the following three categories: grade I indicated bridging bone bonding with both adjacent vertebral bod-
ies, grade II indicated bridging bone bonding with either the superior or inferior vertebral body, and grade III indicated incomplete bone bridging. Ushirozako et al. [14] defined grade I as bone fusion in the two CT slices and grade II or III as pseudoarthrosis. In our study, we defined bone fusion and pseudoarthrosis using the same evaluation method as that of Ushirozako et al. [14]. According to the definition of Resnick et al. [1] and Resnick and Niwayama [16], DISH was defined as continuous anterior or lateral vertebral cross-linking and fusion of three or more intervertebral disks in a standing whole spine X-ray. Additionally, the sacroiliac joint was assumed to have no degeneration or ankylosis (Fig. 2).

Bone fusion was the primary endpoint, and factors that could affect bone fusion (e.g., age, sex, diabetes mellitus, smoking, obesity [body mass index (BMI) ≥30 kg/m²], alcohol consumption, and albumin levels), and DISH were the secondary endpoints. L5/S fixation was also included as a secondary endpoint because achieving bone fusion in L5/S fixation is difficult [17]. The primary and secondary endpoints were analyzed statistically.

The patients’ data were collected by referring to their medical records. This study was a joint study between two orthopedic institutions, and the study was approved by the appropriate ethics committee (approval no., U21-10-007). The requirement for informed consent from individual patients was omitted because of the retrospective design of this study.

3. Statistical analysis

First, patients’ characteristics were investigated by classifying them into a group with DISH and a group without DISH. Groups with different categorical and continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test and chi-square test, respectively. Subsequently, the factors affecting bone fusion were investigated. The risk factors were identified by classifying the patients into a group with fusion and a group with pseudoarthrosis, and the results were shown as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals using logistic regression analysis. p-values <0.05 were used to denote statistical significance. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS ver. 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

1. Patient characteristics

Fifty-seven patients (24.9%) had DISH and 172 patients
(75.1%) did not have DISH. The levels of fixation were L3/4 in 31 patients (DISH group: 6, non-DISH group: 25), L4/5 in 126 patients (DISH group: 25, non-DISH group: 101), and L5/S in 72 patients (DISH group: 26, non-DISH group: 46). Statistical analyses showed that the DISH group had a higher proportion of male patients (77.2% and 55.8%, \(p<0.01\)), a higher number of older patients (73.1±8.5 years and 68.3±9.7 years, \(p<0.01\)), a higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus (31.6% and 14.0%, \(p<0.01\)), a higher number of patients with BMI ≥30 kg/m^2 (17.5% and 2.3%, \(p<0.01\)), and a higher number of patients with L5/S fixation (45.6% and 26.7%, \(p<0.01\)) than the non-DISH group. In contrast, no significant differences in alcohol consumption, smoking, albumin levels, follow-up duration, and L3/4 or L4/5 fixation were observed between the two groups (Table 1).

### 2. Factors affecting bone fusion

Overall, 180 patients (78.6%) had fusion and 49 patients (21.4%) had pseudoarthrosis, and no significant difference in the follow-up duration was observed between the two groups (30.3±20.0 months and 27.1±18.5 months, \(p=0.41\)). Additionally, statistical analysis of the factors that may influence bone fusion in one intervertebral TLIF revealed that DISH was the only significant unfavorable factor in both the univariate and multivariate analyses (univariate \(p=0.031\), multivariate \(p=0.019\)). No significant differences in age, sex, diabetes mellitus, smoking, BMI ≥30 kg/m^2, alcohol consumption, albumin level, and L5/S fixation were found between the two groups (Table 2).

### Discussion

The reported etiological factors for DISH were age, sex, obesity, and metabolic abnormalities. The background of the patients in this study suggested a relationship between DISH and older age, male sex, diabetes mellitus, and obesity, similar to the results in previous reports [18-21].

Diabetes mellitus and smoking have been reported to be significant factors affecting bone fusion after lumbar

---

**Table 1. Difference of characteristics between patients DISH (+) and DISH (−)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>DISH+ (n=57)</th>
<th>DISH− (n=172)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>44 (77.2)</td>
<td>96 (55.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>73.1±8.5</td>
<td>68.3±9.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.01**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index ≥30 kg/m^2</td>
<td>10 (17.5)</td>
<td>4 (2.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>18 (31.6)</td>
<td>24 (14.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking</td>
<td>28 (49.1)</td>
<td>70 (40.7)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>10 (17.5)</td>
<td>38 (22.1)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>4.3±0.29</td>
<td>4.3±0.32</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up period (mo)</td>
<td>30.4±18.9</td>
<td>29.4±19.9</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of fixation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3/4</td>
<td>6 (10.5)</td>
<td>25 (14.5)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4/5</td>
<td>25 (43.9)</td>
<td>101 (58.7)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5/S</td>
<td>26 (45.6)</td>
<td>46 (26.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%) or mean±standard deviation. DISH, Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis. *Indicates statistically significant difference.

**Table 2. Factors affecting bone fusion after one intervertebral transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Fusion+ (n=180)</th>
<th>Pseudoarthrosis (n=49)</th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>69.4±6.7</td>
<td>69.8±9.1</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>1.003 (0.963–1.045)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>105 (58.3)</td>
<td>35 (71.4)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.530 (0.228–1.228)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISH</td>
<td>39 (21.7)</td>
<td>18 (36.7)</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
<td>2.672 (1.175–5.873)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>34 (18.9)</td>
<td>8 (16.3)</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.802 (0.324–1.980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>37 (20.6)</td>
<td>11 (22.4)</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>1.117 (0.463–2.698)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥30 kg/m^2</td>
<td>13 (7.2)</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.183 (0.021–1.586)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking</td>
<td>79 (43.9)</td>
<td>9 (18.8)</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.544 (0.259–1.137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>4.3±0.31</td>
<td>4.3±0.31</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>1.727 (0.539–5.393)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5/S fixation</td>
<td>58 (32.2)</td>
<td>14 (28.6)</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.657 (0.312–1.381)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%), unless otherwise stated. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. DISH, diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis; BMI, body mass index. *Indicates statistically significant difference.
fixation [22,23]; however, in our study, diabetes mellitus and smoking had no significant effect on bone fusion. Additionally, low nutritional status may have a negative effect on bone fusion. Therefore, alcohol consumption and albumin levels were also examined, and these factors had no significant effect. Although it has been reported that achieving bone fusion in L5/S posterior fixation is difficult because of increased shear forces, decreased compressive strength, and a wider range of motion [17,24,25], L5/S fixation did not statistically affect bone fusion in this study. The analyses in this study revealed DISH as the only statistically significant factor affecting bone fusion, indicating that DISH is an independent risk factor for pseudoarthrosis after one intervertebral TLIF. Otsuki et al. [26] reported that the number of reoperations in patients who underwent posterior lumbar fusion was significantly higher in the group with DISH than in the group without DISH. The authors also noted that the decrease in spinal flexibility owing to DISH increased the mechanical load on the remaining mobile vertebrae and increased reoperation rates [26]. It is possible that the results of this study, in which DISH was identified as a risk factor for pseudoarthrosis, may be because of the same mechanism.

The factors influencing bone fusion after one intervertebral TLIF in patients with DISH are unclear. Therefore, we performed a statistical analysis involving 57 patients with DISH (39 patients with fusion and 18 patients with pseudoarthrosis). Loss of flexibility extending below the thoracolumbar transition may cause more load on the lumbar spine. Therefore, we hypothesized that DISH extending below the thoracolumbar transition would cause a greater load on the fixed lumbar spine than DISH restricted to the midthoracic spine. Borkowski et al. [27] reported that in the spine below Th11, continuity with the sternum is lost, and the range of motion increases. Therefore, we hypothesized that mechanical loading would be greater in patients with DISH extending below Th11, and we compared the rate of bone fusion in patients with DISH extending below Th11 with that of patients with DISH not extending below Th11. The results showed that DISH with a caudal end below Th11 was an independent risk factor for pseudoarthrosis (univariate p=0.011, multivariate p=0.033). Additionally, of the 18 patients with pseudoarthrosis, 17 had DISH with a caudal end below Th11. Because the 10th rib is continuous with the sternum via the costal cartilage, DISH with a caudal end above Th10 may have little effect on the mobility of the thoracolumbar transition region. However, DISH extending below Th11 decreases the flexibility of the thoracolumbar transition and increases the load on the lumbar spine, which remains mobile; thus, the load on the fixation site increases and bone fusion is possibly affected. Fig. 3 shows a CT image of one pseudoarthrosis case after TLIF in patients with DISH extending below Th11.

Ya mada et al. [5] investigated the postoperative outcomes of lumbar spine surgery in patients with DISH extending below the thoracolumbar transition would cause a greater load on the fixed lumbar spine than DISH restricted to the midthoracic spine. Borkowski et al. [27] reported that in the spine below Th11, continuity with the sternum is lost, and the range of motion increases. Therefore, we hypothesized that mechanical loading would be greater in patients with DISH extending below Th11, and we compared the rate of bone fusion in patients with DISH extending below Th11 with that of patients with DISH not extending below Th11. The results showed that DISH with a caudal end below Th11 was an independent risk factor for pseudoarthrosis (univariate p=0.011, multivariate p=0.033). Additionally, of the 18 patients with pseudoarthrosis, 17 had DISH with a caudal end below Th11 (Table 3). The thoracolumbar transition (Th10–L2) is a region of biomechanical load because of the transition from the less mobile thoracic spine, associated with the sternum and ribs, to the more mobile lumbar spine [28]. Because the 10th rib is continuous with the sternum via the costal cartilage, DISH with a caudal end above Th10 may have little effect on the mobility of the thoracolumbar transition region. However, DISH extending below Th11 decreases the flexibility of the thoracolumbar transition and increases the load on the lumbar spine, which remains mobile; thus, the load on the fixation site increases and bone fusion is possibly affected. Fig. 3 shows a CT image of one pseudoarthrosis case after TLIF in patients with DISH extending below Th11.

**Table 3. Factors affecting bone fusion after one intervertebral transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion in DISH patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Fusion+ (n=39)</th>
<th>Pseudoarthrosis (n=18)</th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>72.3±9.1</td>
<td>74.6±6.6</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>1.020 (0.937–1.111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>29 (74.4)</td>
<td>15 (83.3)</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.847 (0.126–5.710)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>14 (35.9)</td>
<td>4 (22.2)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.920 (0.194–4.354)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>6 (15.4)</td>
<td>4 (22.2)</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>1.543 (0.287–8.300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥30 kg/m²</td>
<td>9 (23.1)</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.179 (0.016–2.046)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISH extending Th11 and below</td>
<td>24 (61.5)</td>
<td>17 (94.4)</td>
<td>0.011&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.751 (1.222–112.984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking</td>
<td>19 (48.7)</td>
<td>9 (50.0)</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.632 (0.143–2.795)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>4.36±0.29</td>
<td>4.26±0.28</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.131 (0.010–1.729)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5/S fixation</td>
<td>19 (48.7)</td>
<td>7 (38.9)</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>1.094 (0.292–4.100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%), unless otherwise stated.**

**OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; DISH, diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis; BMI, body mass index.**

<sup>a</sup>Indicates statistically significant difference.
tending to the lumbar spine (L-DISH) and those without L-DISH and reported that reoperations were significantly more frequent in the L-DISH group than in the group without L-DISH. Considering this report, among patients with DISH extending below Th11, we expected that pseudoarthrosis would be more common in those with DISH extending to the caudal level, and we investigated this correlation. However, we found no correlation between the caudal level and the incidence of pseudoarthrosis among patients with DISH extending below Th11. Because the number of patients in this study was small and the follow-up period was short (≥1 year), finding a correlation between the caudal level and pseudoarthrosis may be possible with an increase in the number of patients and a longer follow-up period.

This study did not evaluate bone mineral density (BMD), bone metabolism markers, and osteoporosis drugs, which is considered a limitation. The relationship between BMD, bone metabolism markers, and DISH has been reported. Uehara et al. [29] reported that patients with DISH had significantly higher BMD than those without DISH, and the authors also reported that neither bone formation markers nor bone resorption markers were associated with DISH. The mechanism of high BMD in DISH is currently unknown; however, it is possible that the true vertebral body BMD is overestimated by projecting new bone onto the vertebral body in anterior-posterior imaging views. Alternatively, in addition to BMD, the mechanism may be related to bone quality, which is another important factor for bone strength [29,30]. Therefore, DISH merely promotes ligament ossification and does not directly promote bone fusion.

This study revealed that DISH is a risk factor for pseudoarthrosis after one intervertebral TLIF and that DISH with a caudal end extending below Th11 is also a risk factor for pseudoarthrosis. These results suggest that the loss

Fig. 3. A case of pseudoarthrosis after L5/S transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) in a 72-year-old man with diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH). (A, B) Preoperative standing whole spine X-ray anterior-posterior and lateral view. The radiograph shows DISH from Th8 to L1 in the anterior-posterior view and from Th5 to L1 in the lateral view. (C, D) Lumbar spine X-ray anterior-posterior and lateral view, 15 months postoperatively. (E, F) Computed tomography coronal and sagittal views, respectively, 15 months postoperatively. The coronal slice shows grade II, and the sagittal slice shows grade III, in Bridwell’s classification.
of flexibility owing to DISH leads to excessive loading on the lumbar spine, which remains mobile. In particular, DISH with a caudal end extending below the thoracolumbar transition further reduces the mobility of the lumbar spine, and TLIF below the caudal end of DISH increases the mechanical load at the fixation site, which may be a risk factor for pseudoarthrosis.

Other limitations in this study were its retrospective design and small sample size. Additionally, selection bias cannot be ruled out because some cases could not be followed. The follow-up period was not standardized, and follow-up was defined as longer than 12 months after surgery, which included patients with a short follow-up period, which may be a limitation. Therefore, using this investigation as a pilot study, further research using a larger sample size and longer follow-up may help resolve several unclear issues in this study.

Conclusions

This study shows that DISH is an independent risk factor for pseudoarthrosis after one intervertebral TLIF and that DISH with a caudal end below Th11 is associated with a higher risk of pseudoarthrosis than DISH without a caudal end below Th11.

Care should be taken in following up postoperative lumbar surgery patients with DISH. Particular attention should be paid to DISH where the caudal end extends below the thoracolumbar transition region.
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